RESOLUTION NO. 17-15

2016 COMPLIANCE MAINTENANCE ANNUAL REPORT

WHEREAS, the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report describes wastewater management activities, physical conditions and performance of the treatment works during the previous calendar year; and

WHEREAS, State Statues Chapter 283, Department of Natural Resources Administrative Code NR 208 requires the Common Council adopt a resolution accepting the Compliance Maintenance Report prepared by the Water and Sewer Department; and

WHEREAS, a copy of the report is attached.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Common Council of the City of Platteville that the attached report is hereby approved.

Adopted this 27th day of June, 2017.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL CITY OF PLATTEVILLE, WISCONSIN

Barbara Daus

Council President Pro Tem

ATTEST:

Jan Martin City Clerk

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

Influent Flow and Loading

- 1. Monthly Average Flows and (C)BOD Loadings
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and (C)BOD loadings to your facility.

Outfall No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	Х	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L	X	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.8181	Х	320	х	8.34	=	2,183
February	1.0441	Х	322	х	8.34	=	2,807
March	1.1740	Х	240	х	8.34	=	2,348
April	1.1270	Х	205	Х	8.34	=	1,931
May	1.0750	Х	272	Х	8.34	=	2,441
June	1.1129	Х	241	Х	8.34	=	2,236
July	1.1301	X	270	. X	8.34	=	2,544
August	1.1515	Х	227	Х	8.34	=	2,183
September	1.1940	Х	276	Х	8.34	=	2,749
October	1.0818	х	257	Х	8.34	=	2,319
November	1.0284	Х	252	X.	8.34	=	2,161
December	0.9737	Х	261	х	8.34	=	2,116

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	X	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	2.05	Х	90	=	1.845
·		Х	100	=	2.05
Design (C)BOD, lbs/day	3833	х	90	=	3449.7
		X	100	=	3833

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	84	Niconale au a Catina a a	NI C. I.	A1 1 C11	
•			Number of times		Number of times
			flow was greater		(C)BOD was greater
	Influent	than 90% of	than 100% of	than 90% of design	than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	. 0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	.0	0
June	î	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0 ,	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0.	0	0
Points per ea	ch	2	1	3	2
Exceedances		0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Number	er of Po	ints			0

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/8/2017	Reporting Fo 2016
3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? • Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYY) 2016-10-06 • No		
If No, please explain:		
4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that lir excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or to industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? • Yes		
o No If No, please explain:		
4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? o Yes No If Yes, please explain:		
5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Trap		
• Yes • Yes • Yes		
○No ○No ●No		
5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate very septic Tanks • Yes 16700 gallons	volume in gallons.	
o No Holding Tanks ● Yes 598896 gallons o No		
Grease Traps O Yes gallons		
 No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant per any of these wastes. 	formance is affected when recei	ving
Plant performance was not affected from receiving these v	vastes.	
6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment placement or industrial discharges in the last year? O Yes		ncerns,
No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.	ise.	
· ·		

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/8/2017 **2016**

o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

- 1. Effluent (C)BOD Results
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or **CBOD**

					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	
Outfall No.		90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance
January	30	27	3 .	1 ·	0	0
February	30	27	2	1	0	. 0
March	30	27	. 3	1	0	0
April	30	27	1	1	0	0
May	15	13.5	0	1	0	0
June	15	13.5	0	1	0	0
July	15	13.5	0	1	0	0
August	15	13.5	0	1	0	0
September	15	13.5	0	1	0	0
October	15	13.5	0 .	1.	0	0
November	30	27	0	1	0	0
December	30	27	1	1	0	0
		* Equ	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of d	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per e	Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge					3
Exceedance						0 .
Points			¥		0	0
Total numb	per of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2.	Flow	Meter	Calibration

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2016-10-06

o No

If No, please explain:

- 3. Treatment Problems
- 3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

None

- 4. Other Monitoring and Limits
- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

o Yes

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

	6/8/2017	2016
If Yes, please explain:		
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acut toxicity (WET) test? o Yes	te or chronic whole ef	fluent
● No		
If Yes, please explain:		
·		
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to source(s) of toxicity? o Yes	identify and/or reduce	e
o No		
● N/A		
Please explain unless not applicable:		

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge with a Limit	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Exceedance
January	30	27	4	1	0	0
February	30	27	4	1	0	0
March	30	27	9	1	0	0
April	30	27	4	1	0	0
May	15	13.5	4	1	0	0
June	15	13.5	3	1	0	0
July	15	13.5	2	1	- 0	0
August	15	13.5	1	1	0	0
September	15	13.5	1	1	0	0
October	15	13.5	1	1	0	0
November	30	27	2	1	0	0
December	30	27	2	1	0	0
		* Equ	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge:					7	3
xceedances					0	0
Points					0	0
Total Numb	er of Points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

0

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3	ЙНЗ	Average			Average			Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed	1		for Week	for Week	Exceed
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	4.6		.6823809	52 0					
February	4.6		.1033333	33 0					
March	4.6		.0683333	33 0					
April	2.9		.0552380	95 0					
May	1.5		.0169565	22 0					
June	1.5		.0290909	0 9					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
July	1.5	ı	.0757142	36 0			,		
August	1.5		.0473913	04 0		***************************************			
September	1.5		.2960869	57 0					
October	4.6		.0547826	09 0					
November	4.6		.0513636	36 0					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
December	4.6		.0661904	76 0					
Points per ea	ach excee	dance of N	ionthly av	erage:					10
Exceedances	s, Monthly								0
Points:	Points:								0
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly averge):								2.5	
Exceedances	s, Weekly:								0
Points:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					0
Total Numb	er of Poi	nts			***************************************				0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for mothly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

0

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance
January	1	0.7	1	0
February	1	0.5	1	0
March	1	0.6	1	0
April	1	0.6	1	0
May	1	0.3	1	0
June	1	0.7	1	. 0
July	1	0.4	1	0
August	1	0.5	1	0
September	1	0.4	1	0
October	1	0.7	1	0
November	1	0.7	1	0
December	1	0.5	1	0
Months of Discharge	e/yr		12	
Points per each e	10			
Exceedances				0
Total Number of F	Points			0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For
	6/8/2017	2016

Biosolids Quality and Management

			,																
1. Biosolic 1.1 How \(\text{Land} \) \(\text{Public} \) \(\text{Haule} \) \(\text{Landf} \) \(\text{Incine} \) \(\text{Other} \) \(\text{NOTE: I as lagood 1.1.1 If } \)	did you applicated to a filled erated for you ons, re	ou us ed un stribu anoth did n eed b	e or d der yo ted Ex er per ot ren eds, re	our p ccept mitte nove ecirci	ermit ional ed fac biosc ulatin	Qua Cility Cilids	lity B from nd fil	youi ters,	ids r sysi			4		e you	ır sy:	stem (type si	uch	,
2.1 Last \(2.1.1 Ho \) 462 acr \(2.1.2 Ho \) 52.9 2.2 If you 2.3 Did y • Yes (3 • No 2.4 Have years? • Yes • No (10 • N/A	Year's bw ma res bw ma u did ou ov 0 poi	erapponts)	roved cres d cres d acr ave er	id yo es nough	u hav	ve? es for any c	r you of you	r lan ır ap	d apı	olicat ed la	ion n	plica	tion	sites	you	used	last ye	ear?	0
3. Biosolid Number of 3.1 For eacalendar Outfall No	of bios ach o year.	solids utfall	tested	l, ver	ify th		-			jualit	y val	ues f	or yo	our fa	cility	durin	g the	last	
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit		Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec		High Quality	Ceiling	
Arsenic		41	75		Ì	İ	İ	<u> </u>	7.64		İ						0	0	İ
Cadmium		39	85					 	13.4	-							0	0	
Соррег		1500	4300						654								0	0	
Lead	T	300	840						34.7						-	<u> </u>	0	0	
Mercury		17	57						1.07								0	0	
Molybdenum	60		75		-				6.57		 				-	0	 	0	
Nickel	336		420						20.5	***************************************	 					0	 	0	
Selenium	80	 	100						3.64							0		0	
Zinc		2800							1390	····						Ů.		-	

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/8/2017 **2016**

0

Outfall No	Dutfall No. 003 - CAKE SLUDGE																	
Parameter	80% of Limit	Limit	Ceiling Limit	Зап	Feb	Mar	· Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75						7.64								0	0
Cadmium	, ,	39	85						13.4						•		0	0
Copper		1500	4300						654								0	0
Lead		300	840						34.7								0	0
Mercury		17	57						1.07								0	0
Molybdenum	60		75						6.57							0		0
Nickel	336		420						20.5							0		0
Selenium	80		100						3.64							0		0
Zinc	***************************************	2800	7500						1390						************		0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes

01

- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- O N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0
- Exceedence Points
- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)

(10 Points)

- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- o Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?
- 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):
- 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	
Sample Dates:	01/01/2016 - 12/31/2016
Density:	
Sample Concentration Amount:	
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Primary digester 477,000 gals. Temp 96 Temp 96

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/8/2017 **2016**

0

0

0

4.2.1 Was	s the limit ex	ceeded or the pr	ocess criteria n	ot met at the ti	me of land apr	olication?
o Yes (4	0 Points)				• •	
No						
If yes, w	hat action w	as taken?				

4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.

5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall):

5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	07/19/2016
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	47,10

5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?

O Yes (40 Points)

No

If yes, what action was taken?

- 6. Biosolids Storage
- 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?
- >= 180 days (0 Points)
- 0 150 179 days (10 Points)
- 0 120 149 days (20 Points)
- 0 90 119 days (30 Points)
- 0 < 90 days (40 Points)</p>
- O N/A (O Points)
- 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.

7. Issues

7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017 2016

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

 Plant Staffing Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year? Yes 	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
Could use more help/staff for:	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? Yes	
O No	
If No, please explain:	
 2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items? Yes (Continue with question 2) No (40 points) 	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
·	
 2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? Yes No (10 points) 	0
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?Yes	
 Paper file system Computer system Both paper and computer system No (10 points) 	
 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? Yes No 	
 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor 	
Describe your rating:	
	Í

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/8/2017	Reporting For 2016
Total Points Generated		0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)		100

Section Grade

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

0

Operator Certification and Education

 1. Operator-In-Charge 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year? Yes (0 points) No (20 points) 	
Name: JEFFREY W FREDERICK	0
Certification No: 08696	

2. Certification Requirements

2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP	OIC		
Class		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	X			X
A2	Attached Growth Processes	X			X
A3	Recirculating Media Filters				
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				
В	Solids Separation	X			X
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Х			X
Р	Total Phosphorus	Χ .			X
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection	X			X
L	Laboratory	X			X
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	NA	NA	NA

- 2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS, N and A5 not required in 2016; subclass SS is basic level only.)
- Yes (0 points)
- O No (20 points)
- 3. Succession Planning
- 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?
- ☑ One or more additional certified operators on staff
- ☐ An arrangement with another certified operator
- ☐ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator
- ☐ An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year
- ☐ A consultant to serve as your certified operator
- ☐ None of the above (20 points)
- If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:
- 4. Continuing Education Credits

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

- 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?
- OIT and Basic Certification:
- O Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.
- Advanced Certification:
- Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Platteville	Wastewater	Treatment	Facility
ICILLEVIIIC	N N COLC ND CLCI	110000	" MOIIILY

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

Financial Management

1. Provider of Financial Information
Name:
Barb Johnson
Telephone: 608-348-1822 (XXX) XXX-XXXX
E-Mail Address
(optional):
johnsonb@platteville.org
 2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover 0&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system? Yes (0 points) No (40 points)
If No, please explain:
2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised?
Year:
2016
• 0-2 years ago (0 points)
o 3 or more years ago (20 points)
o N/A (private facility)
2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment
plant and/or collection system?
• Yes (0 points)
o No (40 points)
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]
3. Equipment Replacement Funds
3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised?
Year: 2016
• 1-2 years ago (0 points)
o 3 or more years ago (20 points)
o N/A
If N/A, please explain:
2.0. Fault-part Depleasment Fund Antivity
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity 3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR \$ 1,573,001.68
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, + \$ 319,112.80
audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance \$ 1,892,114.48
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee,
earned interest, etc.) + \$ 256,832.67

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

3.2.5	Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment	t
replac	ement, major repairs - use description bo	XC
3.2.6.	1 below*)	

127,982,60

3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year

2,020,964.55

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

SCADA Computer Update, Paving, Intermediate Clarifier, Butterfly Valve

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund?

285,049.52

0

Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu.

- 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?
- Yes
- O No

If No, please explain.

- 4. Future Planning
- 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?
- Yes If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below.
- O No

Project #	Project Description		Approximate Construction Year
1	WWTP Filter Tower Media Replacement	535125	2017
2	WWTP Valve replacements	20000	2020
3	Non-potable water systems control	10000	2017
4	WWTP Valve replacement	20000	2021
5	WWTP Valve Replacement	20000	2018
6	Intermediate Clarifier#2 rebuild	120000	2018
7	WWTP Valve replacement	20000	2019

5. Financial Management General Comments

ENERGY EFFICIENCY A	ND	USE
---------------------	----	-----

- 6. Collection System
- 6.1 Energy Usage
- 6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations:

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/8/2017 2016

January	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)	
	2,496		
February	2,520		·
March	2,547		•
April	2,656		
Мау	2,516		
June	2,329	-	·
July	2,023		
August	2,568		
September	2,353		
October	2,176	A A A MALA - MARSANIA	
November	2,009		
December	2,572		
Total	28,765	0	·
Average	2,397	0	
6.2.1 Indicat	elated Processes and Equip te equipment and practice ution or Screening		stations (Check all that apply):
6.2.1 Indicat Comminut Extended Flow Met Pneumat SCADA S Self-Prim Submers	te equipment and practice ution or Screening I Shaft Pumps ering and Recording ic Pumping ystem aing Pumps		stations (Check all that apply):
6.2.1 Indicated Comminum Extended Flow Met Pneumat SCADA SSSIF-Prim Submers Variable	te equipment and practice ution or Screening I Shaft Pumps ering and Recording ic Pumping ystem aing Pumps ible Pumps Speed Drives		stations (Check all that apply):

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

6.4 Future En	ergy Related	Equipment
---------------	--------------	-----------

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

Update equipment as needed.

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

,	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	87,600	25.36	3,454	67.67	1,295	3,268
February	81,600	30.28	2,695	81.40	1,002	3,145
March	80,000	36.39	2,198	72.79	1,099	1,330
April	81,600	33.81	2,413	57.93	1,409	577
May	84,800	33.33	2,544	75.67	1,121	96
June	62,000	33.39	1,857	67.08	924	29
July	66,800	35.03	1,907	78.86	847	30
August	62,000	35.70	1,737	67.67	916	46
September	75,600	35.82	2,111	82.47	917	39
October	71,600	33.54	2,135	71.89	996	73
November	85,200	30.85	2,762	64.83	1,314	152
December	88,400	30.18	2,929	65.60	1,348	2,398
Total	927,200	393.68		853.86		11,183
Average	77,267	32.81	2,395	71.16	1,099	932

7.1.2 Comments:

-	-		D				
1	1	Fneray	Related	Processes	and	Equipment	٠
•		_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	riciacca	1 10000000	uilu	Lquipilielle	•

- 7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):
- ☐ Aerobic Digestion
- ☑ Anaerobic Digestion
- ☐ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- ☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- ☑ Effluent Pumping
- ☑ Fine Bubble Diffusers
- ☐ Nitrification
- SCADA System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
 System
- ☐ UV Disinfection
- ☑ Variable Speed Drives
- ☐ Other:

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	6/8/2017	Reporting For 2016
7,2.2 Comments:		
7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment		
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned treatment facility?	for the future for	your
8. Biogas Generation		
8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? o No		
 Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): Flared Off Building Heat 		
☐ Process Heat ☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other:		
Other.		
9. Energy Efficiency Study		
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? • No		
○ Yes ☐ Entire facility		
Year: By Whom:		
Describe and Comment:		
☐ Part of the facility Year:		
By Whom:		
Describe and Comment:		

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/8/2017	Reporting For 2016
Total Points Generated		0
Score (100 - Total Points Generate	ed)	100
Scotion Cunda		M.

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

6/8/2017

Last Updated: Reporting For: 2016

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

Junior y Server Server Systems
 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
• Yes
o No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)? • Yes
o No (30 points)
o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply)☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Reconstruct .57 miles of main and manholes.
Did you accomplish them?
Yes
○ No
If No, explain:
☐ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☑ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☑ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
☑ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
☑ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
Sewer use ordinance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 1985-05-21
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: Private property inflow and infiltration
New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary
☐ Fat, oil and grease control
☑ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:
☐ Equipment and replacement part inventories
☑ Up-to-date sewer system map
☑A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: Repor 6/8/2017 20	ting For: 016
 ☒ A description of routine operation and mainten ☐ Capacity assessment program ☒ Basement back assessment and correction ☒ Regular O&M training ☒ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (What standards and procedures are established for the sewer collection system, including building sexproperty? ☒ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards (☒ Construction, Inspection, and Testing) ☒ Others: 	(4) (e)] or the design, construction, and inspection of overs and interceptor sewers on private	
Platteville water and sewer construction standa	rds.	
☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23	(4) (f)]	
Does your emergency response capability include: Responsible personnel communication procedu Response order, timing and clean-up Public notification protocols		0
☑ Training	,	
☑ Emergency operation protocols and implement		
☑ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR		
☐ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that ☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis	apply):	
Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)		
Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Management Pla	o (CECAP)	
☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managinetic Pla ☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report	ii (SECAP)	
☐ Others:	•	
2. Operation and Maintenance		
2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maint	enance program include the following	
maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and Cleaning 27	indicate the amount maintained.	
	% of system/year	
	of system/year	
Flow monitoring 0 %	of system/year	
Smoke testing 0 %	of system/year	
Sewer line		
televising 22 %	of system/year	[[
Manhole		
inspections 50 %	of system/year	
Lift station O&M 52 #	per L.S./year	
Manhole		
rehabilitation 0 %	of manholes rehabbed	
Mainline	•	
rehabilitation 0 %	of sewer lines rehabbed	
Private sewer inspections 10 %	of system/year	
Private sewer I/I		

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/8/2017	Reporting Fo 2016			
River or water					
crossings 100 % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained					
Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collect	tion system below:				
3. Performance Indicators					
3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for th 38.69 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in					
36 Annual average precipitation (for your location)	,,,,,,,,				
52 Miles of sanitary sewer					
4 Number of lift stations	•				
0 Number of lift station failures					
0 Number of sewer pipe failures	,				
0 Number of basement backup occurrences					
Number of complaints					
1.073 Average daily flow in MGD (if available)					
1.194 Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available) Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)		1			
3.2 Performance ratios for the past year:					
0.00 Lift station failures (failures/year)					
0.00 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)					
0.00 Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/y		***			
0.00 Basement backups (number/sewer mile)	,				
0.00 Complaints (number/sewer mile)					
1.1 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily	Ava)				
0.0 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily A		-			
. 0.0 reading factor radio (reak floatily.Allimatic bally A	v g)				
4. Overflows					
LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO)	OFERFLOWS REPORT	ED **			
Date Location	1	timated			
	Volu	me (MG)			
None reported					
** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please cor on this section until corrected.	ntact the DNR and st	op work			
5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)					
5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year	?				
Yes No					
If Yes, please describe:					
5.2 Has infiltration /inflow and requirement high flavor affected and					
5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the	e or created problem ie past vear?	is in			
o Yes	American American				
• No					

Last Updated: Reporting For:

latteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/8/2017	Reporting For: 2016
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous Less I/I directly related to sewer main replacement.	ous years:	
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection. Home inspections for sump pumps. Replacement program.	on system?	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2017

2016

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0020435

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS		
Influent	A	4	3	12		
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40		
TSS	А	4	5	20		
Ammonia	Α	4	5	20		
Phosphorus	Α	4	3	12		
Biosolids	A	4	5	20		
Staffing/PM	Α	4	1	4		
OpCert	А	4	1	4		
Financial	Α	4	1	4		
Collection	A	4	3	12		
TOTALS			37	148		
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4.00						

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Platteville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/8/2017	Reporting For 2016
Resolution or Owner's Statement		
Name of Governing Body or Owner:		
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:		
Resolution Number:		
Date of Submittal:		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F): Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A	G TO SPECIFI	C CMAR
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A		
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A		
Staffing: Grade = A		
Operator Certification: Grade = A		
Financial Management: Grade = A		
Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were	reported)	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less th G.P.A. = 4.00		RALL

			· .